**How the DAT390 report will be graded**

General rule: As a basic orientation, grading on the "A" to "F" character scale is applied in line with the UHR's decision on grading master theses (see underline assessment form and specification of grades). This is not applied 1:1, but taking account of the status of work from DAT390; in particular, that the reports need to be shorter than master theses and that they are at an earlier stage, so that they contain preliminary results only, rather than the output from a completed research effort.

The *standard* for evaluation is the same as for a master thesis, but the scope is different; the UHR assessment form is adjusted to these circumstances as follows:

Introduction and theory
- *Literature review* and *disciplinary context* (10 points)
- *Technical grounding* and *theoretical insight* (10 points)
- *Goal description* (10 points)

Methods and working practice
- *Choice and justification of working methods* (10 points)
- *Good practice related to data* (10 point)

Results and discussion
- *Preliminary results and/or feasibility demonstration* (10 points)
- *Analysis and discussion* (10 points)
- *Critical reflection* and *plans or suggestions for future work* (10 points)

Form and academic writing[1]
- *Structure* and *form* (10 points)
- *Language* (10 points)

Other than those highlighted, the sub-criteria above are taken over from the UHR form. They have the same meaning here as originally specified by the UHR for master theses.

The *methodology* for the assessment also differs from that for the master thesis in that the prospective supervisor (of the master thesis) is not involved in the grading.

---

[1]  This replaces the "Presentation" criterion in the UHR document while still using analogous sub-criteria.

**Scale for the sub-criteria**

10 points    near perfect

 8 points    very good, only minimal improvement possible

 6 points    good, but clear improvement possible

 4 points    just acceptable for master degree standard

 2 points    some value, but insufficient for master degree standard

 0 points    negligible value

The odd integer values in between can be given as well (but no fractional points).

**Overall grade table**

A:    90 - 100 points

B:    80 -  89 points

C:    60 -  79 points

D:    50 -  59 points

E:    40 -  49 points

F:     0 -  39 points