Instructor Name	Martin Horsch
Semester	Fall 2017
Course / Program	Engineering/ENGR 352
Responses	9

	Semester	#	Absences	#	#	Expected Grade	#
Section Avg.			#REF!	#REF!		#REF!	#REF!

AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF IRAQ, SULAIMANI Office of the Registrar Teaching Evaluation Report
Spring 2017 courses
29-May-18

- 4 = STRONGLY AGREE
- 3 = AGREE
- 2 = NEUTRAL
- 1 = DISAGREE 0 = STRONGLY DISAGREE

								1			
	<u>LEARNING</u>	4	3	2	1	0	Х		MEAN	MEDIAN	ST. DEV.
	I found the courses useful.				22%	11%	0%	Section	1.78	2.00	0.97
1		0%	22%	44%				Course			
1								Program			
								University			
	I found the courses challenging.			0%	0%		0%	Section	3.11	3.00	1.27
2		67%	33%			0%		Course			
	Tround the courses chanenging.	0770	3370	0%	U%	U%	U%	Program			
								University			
								Section	1.67	2.00	1.12
3	I understood and learned the material of this course.	11%	0%	44%	33%	11%	0%	Course			
								Program			
								University			
	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.		11%	44%	22%	11%	0%	Section	1.89	2.00	1.17
4		11%						Course			
-								Program			
								University			
	<u>ENTHUSIASM</u>										
			22%	11%	0%	0%	0%	Section	3.56	4.00	0.73
5	The instructor was excited about teaching the course.	67%						Course			
5								Program			
								University			
	ORGANIZATION					ı	ı				
								Section	3.67	4.00	0.50
6	The syllabus provided at the beginning of the semester was clear.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Course			
0	The syllabus provided at the beginning of the semester was clear.	0770		070	070	070	070	Program			
								University			
								Section	3.11	3.00	0.87
7	The course objectives were clearly defined and followed by the instructor.	56%	22%	11%	0%	11%	0%	Course			
								Program			
								University	2.00	2.00	4.27
		33%	44%			11%	0%	Section	2.89	3.00	1.27
8	The instructor's materials were well prepared and clearly explained.			11%	0%			Course			
								Program			
				l	1			University			

								Section	3.33	4.00	0.87
	The instructor made effective use of class time.								3.33	4.00	0.07
9		56%	22%	22%	0%	0%	0%	Course Program			
								University			
ACADEMIC GUIDANCE											
								Section	2.44	3.00	1.24
10	The instructor gave help rearding homework, exams and refrencing.	22%	33%	11%	33%	0%	0%	Course			
				11/0	3370	070		Program			
								University			
								Section	3.11	3.00	1.05
11	The instructor clearly explained class policies regarding grading.	44%	33%	11%	11%	0%	0%	Course			
								Program			
	CROUD INTERACTION							University			
	GROUP INTERACTION		I	I		l	I	Section	2.22	2.00	0.97
				44%				Course	2.22	2.00	0.57
12	The instructor encouraged students to participate in class discussions.	11%	22%		22%	0%	0%	Program			
								University			
								Section	2.00	2.00	1.22
13	The instructor encouraged students to ask questions and gave meaningful answers.	11%	22%	33%	22%	11%	0%	Course			
								Program			
								University			
	The instructor encouraged students to express their own ideas.	11%	22%	44%			0%	Section	2.11	2.00	1.17
14					11%	11%		Course			
								Program			
	INDIVIDUAL BARRORT							University			
INDIVIDUAL RAPPORT Section									3.13	3.50	0.76
	The instructor was friendly toward individual students.							Course	3.13	3.30	0.70
15		50%	25%	13%	13%	0%	0%	Program			
								University			
								Section	3.50	4.00	0.76
16	The instructor was available to students during office hours.	63%	25%	13%	0%	0%	0%	Course			
10	The instructor was available to students during office nours.	03%	2370	13/0	070	070	078	Program			
								University			
	<u>EXAMINATIONS</u>		1	1	1	1	1				
								Section	3.00	3.00	1.00
17	Feedback on examinations and graded materials useful.	44%	11%	44%	0%	0%	0%	Course			
								Program University			
								Section	2.89	3.00	0.78
40	The instructor explained how they evaluated and graded student work.	/	,					Course	2.03	5.00	0.70
18		22%	44%	33%	0%	0%	0%	Program			
								University			
19	The Instructor returned exams, homework and essays quickly to students.							Section	2.67	3.00	0.87
		11%	56%	22%	11%	0%	0% 0%	Course			
								Program			
			l	l	l	l	l	University			<u>. </u>

								Section	2.22	2.00	1.56
20	Exams were based on content taught in class.	33%	11%	11%	33%	11%	0%	Course			
20		33/0						Program			
								University			
	ASSIGNMENTS										
								Section	2.33	3.00	1.41
24	Described and discount Assathants are a second	22%	33%	11%	22%	11%	0%	Course			İ
21	Required reading and textbooks were valuable.	22%				11%	0%	Program			
								University			
	The instructor explained plagiarism.		56%	22%	0%	0%	0%	Section	3.00	3.00	0.71
22		22%						Course			
22								Program			
								University			
	Readings, homework and labs helped me to better understand the subject.	33%	0%	44%	11%			Section	2.33	2.00	1.41
23						11%	0%	Course			
								Program			
								University			
	<u>Overall</u>	•	•	•	•	-	•			•	
								Section	2.67	3.00	1.22
24	AS AN OVERALL RATING I WOULD SAY THIS INSTRUCTOR IS	22%	44%	22%	0%	11%	0%	Course			
24				22/0	076		070	Program			
								University			

OVERALL SCALE

4 = VERY GOOD 3 = GOOD

2 = AVERAGE

1 = POOR

0 = VERY POOR